

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSEPH COPPOLA,

Defendant.

2:03-cr-0010-LRH-PAL

ORDER

Before the court is the Ninth Circuit's order on remand for the limited purpose of addressing several motions filed by defendant Joseph Coppola ("Coppola") before this court and the Ninth Circuit simultaneously. Doc. #258.


The documents identified by the Ninth Circuit were filed on this court's docket as document numbers 247, 248, 250, and 251 which correlate to Coppola's motion for a certificate of appealability (Doc. #247); motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. #248); renewed motion for a certificate of appealability (Doc. #250); and motion for leave to amend motion to vacate or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. #251). Prior to the Ninth Circuit's order, the court previously addressed and denied these motions in the court's August 14, 2014 order. Doc. #255.

However, although the court denied Coppola's motions, the court did not address the timeliness of Coppola's motions or his appeal. The court now takes this opportunity to confirm that although the court has denied Coppola's certificate of appealability, the court finds that the subject

1 post-trial motions are timely, and that the time for his appeal is tolled until entry of this order.  
2 Therefore, in compliance with the Ninth Circuit's order on remand, the court finds that Coppola's  
3 motions and his appeal are timely.

4  
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED this 8th day of January, 2015.

7   
8 LARRY R. HICKS  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26